

# Does the World Still Need Generic Management Protocols?

#### **George Pavlou**

Center for Communications Systems Research Department of Electronic Engineering University of Surrey, UK

G.Pavlou@surrey.ac.uk http://www.ee.surrey.ac.uk/CCSR/Networks/

UniS

6 April, NOMS 2006

George Pavlou



#### Background

- In the past I have done work on OSI System Management and CORBA
  - Built the OSIMIS open source OSI-SM platform
  - Contributed to the TMF IIMC specs on OSI-SM and SNMP coexistence and built a generic CMIS/P to SNMP adaptor
  - Contributed to TMF JIDM specs on CORBA and OSI-SM/ SNMP coexistence and built a generic CORBA to CMIS/P adaptor
- Current networking, network management and service engineering research activities
  - Tend to use whatever technology is available/fashionable/ easy-to-use
  - Have to deal with a plethora of relevant technologies (SNMP, CORBA, Netconf, Web Services) ☺





### How Did We Get Here?

- SNMPv1 in the early 1990's as a simple interim solution
  - Was supposed to be replaced by CMOT (CMIS/P Over TCP)
- Problems, some fixed in SMIv2, some other in SNMPv2/v3 but still a flawed approach
  - Information model too simple, lacks expressiveness
  - Not good for intrusive management (i.e. configuration), mostly used for monitoring
- COPS-PR tried to address the configuration problem but got nowhere – flawed approach to start with
- Netconf is finally fixing the configuration problem
- SNMP not to be developed any further





### **Other Technologies**

- TL1 used for SONET/SDH etc.
- Cisco's CLI, Juniper's Junoscript for IP routers
- Syslog for event management
- OSI-SM (CMIS/P-GDMO) still used in TMN
- CORBA a general distributed object technology, used also for network management
- Other distributed object technologies (RMI, ...)
- Lightweight Directory Protocol/Services (LDAP)

#### Many papers/panels in NOMS/IM/DSOM over the years





# **Questions and My Answers (1/2)**

- Do we need a single standard protocol to cover the full FCAPS?
  - Would be good, let's dream on... ③
  - Or have we already got one?
- Do we need different protocols for different market segments?
  - But this what is happening and will continue to happen
- Should and can XML/WS be used to replace existing protocols?
  - It might in principle, but don't hold your breath I
- Do we need an information model? Or should it be left out of the equation?
  - Of course we do, if not, forget interoperability
- What direction will standardization efforts need to take?
  - Continue to fill gaps as they arise e.g. Netconf
  - In parallel try for that elusive one-size-fits-all solution  $\ensuremath{\textcircled{\sc one}}$



# **Questions and My Answers (2/2)**

- What would be the benefits of a generic management protocol?
  - Homogeneity, commonality, universality
  - Power, at least as much as the "common existing one" Image:
- Does the lack of a common protocol result in lack of tools?
  - It rather results in a multitude of different tools  $\boldsymbol{\varpi}$
- Do we know the requirements? What about Web Services?
  - See above. WS could be a possible *platform* for a solution.
  - But then what's round the corner (ok, a bit further) to replace WS? ③
- Why this would succeed? Should we be asking this question?
  - Commonality, universality?
  - Of course yes, will keep researchers in business ☺ ☺ ☺
- One size fits all solutions have largely failed...
  - But not always, look at the *waste-of-the-hourglass* IP
  - "It's good, if you can get it..."