A contribution to the critique of the political economy of academic labour

Joss Winn, University of Lincoln, and Richard Hall, De Montfort University, UK

In this paper we analyse 'academic labour' using categories developed by Marx in his critique of political economy. In doing so, we return to Marx to help understand the work of academics as productive living labour subsumed by the capitalist mode of production. In elaborating our own position, we are critical of two common approaches to the study of academic labour, especially as they emerge from inside analyses of 'virtual labour' or 'digital work' (Fuchs and Sevignani, 2013; Newfield, 2010; Roggero, 2011).

First, we are critical of efforts to define the nature of our work as 'immaterial labour' (Hardt and Negri, 2000; Peters and Bulut, 2011; Scholtz, 2013) and argue that this category is an unhelpful and unnecessary diversion from the analytical power of Marx's social theory and method. The discourse around 'immaterial labour' raised by the Autonomist or *Operaismo* tradition is thought-provoking, but ultimately adds little to a critical theory of commodity production as the basis of capitalist social relations (Postone, 1993; Sohn-Rethel, 1978). In fact they tend to overstate network-centrism and its concomitant disconnection from the hierarchical, globalised forces of production that shape our objective social reality (Robinson, 2004).

Second, we are cautious of an approach which focuses on the digital *content* of academic labour (Noble, 2002; Weller, 2012) to the neglect of both its *form* and the *organising principles* under which it is subsumed (Camfield, 2007). Understandably, academics have a tendency to reify their own labour such that it becomes something that they struggle *for*, rather than *against*. However, repeatedly adopting this approach can only lead to a sense of helplessness (Postone, 2006). If, rather, we focus our critique on the *form* and *organising principles* of labour, we find that it shares the same general qualities whether it is academic or not. Thus, it is revealed as commodity-producing, with both concrete and abstract forms. By remaining focused on the form of labour, rather than its content, we can only critique it rather than reify it.

This then has implications for our understanding of the relationships between academics and virtual work, the ways in which technologies are used to organise academic labour digitally, and struggles to overcome such labour. It is our approach to conceive of 'academic labour' in both its concrete and abstract forms and in relation to a range of techniques and technologies. The purpose of this is to unite *all* workers in solidarity *against* labour (Krisis-Group, 1999), rather than against each other in a competitive labour market.

## References

Camfield, D. (2007) The Multitude and the Kangaroo: A Critique of Hardt and Negri's Theory of Immaterial Labour. *Historical Materialism* 15: 21-52.

Fuchs, C. and Sevignani, S. (2013) What Is Digital Labour? What Is Digital Work? What's their Difference? And Why Do These Questions Matter for Understanding Social Media?, *tripleC*, 11(2) 237-292.

Hardt, M. and Negri, T. (2000) Empire. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

Krisis-Group (1999) Manifesto against labour. Krisis.

Newfield, C. 2010. The structure and silence of Cognitariat. EduFactory webjournal 0: 10-26.

Noble, David F. (2002) *Digital Diploma Mills. The Automation of Higher Education*. New York: Monthly Review Press.

Peters, Michael A. and Bulut. E. (2011) *Cognitive Capitalism, Education and Digital Labor*. New York: Peter Lang.

Postone, M. (1993) Time, Labor and Social Domination. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Postone, M. (2006) History and Helplessness: Mass Mobilization and Contemporary Forms of Anticapitalism, *Public Culture*, 18(1).

Robinson, W.I. (2004) *A Theory of Global Capitalism: Production, Class, and State in a Transnational World.* Baltimore, MA: John Hopkins University Press.

Roggero, G. (2011) *The Production of Living Knowledge: The Crisis of the University and the Transformation of Labor in Europe and North America.* Philadelphia, PA: Temple University Press.

Scholtz, T. (2013) Digital Labour. The Internet as Playground and Factory. New York: Routledge.

Sohn-Rethel, A. (1978) Intellectual and Manual Labour. New Jersey: Humanities Press.

Weller, M. (2011) *The Digital Scholar: How Technology Is Transforming Scholarly Practice*. London: Bloomsbury.