

Does the World Still Need Generic Management Protocols?

George Pavlou

Center for Communications Systems Research
Department of Electronic Engineering
University of Surrey, UK

G.Pavlou@surrey.ac.uk
http://www.ee.surrey.ac.uk/CCSR/Networks/





Background

- In the past I have done work on OSI System Management and CORBA
 - Built the OSIMIS open source OSI-SM platform
 - Contributed to the TMF IIMC specs on OSI-SM and SNMP coexistence and built a generic CMIS/P to SNMP adaptor
 - Contributed to TMF JIDM specs on CORBA and OSI-SM/ SNMP coexistence and built a generic CORBA to CMIS/P adaptor
- Current networking, network management and service engineering research activities
 - Tend to use whatever technology is available/fashionable/ easy-to-use
 - Have to deal with a plethora of relevant technologies (SNMP, CORBA, Netconf, Web Services) (S)





How Did We Get Here?

- ◆ SNMPv1 in the early 1990's as a simple interim solution
 - Was supposed to be replaced by CMOT (CMIS/P Over TCP)
- Problems, some fixed in SMIv2, some other in SNMPv2/v3 but still a flawed approach
 - Information model too simple, lacks expressiveness
 - Not good for intrusive management (i.e. configuration), mostly used for monitoring
- ◆ COPS-PR tried to address the configuration problem but got nowhere flawed approach to start with
- ◆ Netconf is finally fixing the configuration problem
- SNMP not to be developed any further





Other Technologies

- ◆ TL1 used for SONET/SDH etc.
- Cisco's CLI, Juniper's Junoscript for IP routers
- Syslog for event management
- OSI-SM (CMIS/P-GDMO) still used in TMN
- CORBA a general distributed object technology, used also for network management
- ◆ Other distributed object technologies (RMI, ...)
- Lightweight Directory Protocol/Services (LDAP)
- ◆ Many papers/panels in NOMS/IM/DSOM over the years





Questions and My Answers (1/2)

- ◆ Do we need a single standard protocol to cover the full FCAPS?
 - Would be good, let's dream on... ©
 - Or have we already got one?
- Do we need different protocols for different market segments?
 - But this what is happening and will continue to happen
- ◆ Should and can XML/WS be used to replace existing protocols?
 - It might in principle, but don't hold your breath ©
- ◆ Do we need an information model? Or should it be left out of the equation?
 - Of course we do, if not, forget interoperability
- ♦ What direction will standardization efforts need to take?
 - Continue to fill gaps as they arise e.g. Netconf
 - In parallel try for that elusive one-size-fits-all solution ©





Questions and My Answers (2/2)

- **◆** What would be the benefits of a generic management protocol?
 - Homogeneity, commonality, universality
 - Power, at least as much as the "common existing one" ©
- **◆** Does the lack of a common protocol result in lack of tools?
 - It rather results in a multitude of different tools 🗵
- ◆ Do we know the requirements? What about Web Services?
 - See above. WS could be a possible *platform* for a solution.
 - But then what's round the corner (ok, a bit further) to replace WS? ©
- Why this would succeed? Should we be asking this question?
 - Commonality, universality?
 - Of course yes, will keep researchers in business © © ©
- ◆ One size fits all solutions have largely failed...
 - But not always, look at the waste-of-the-hourglass IP
 - "It's good, if you can get it..."

